

What do you DISLIKE about this vision?

OPTION D: South Maui has green parks and pathways, clean beaches, and vibrant gathering places with everything locals need nearby. The easy pace of life is supported by a transportation network and infrastructure that meets the community's needs and is resilient to changing conditions. The region's cultural resources are protected and celebrated, letting no one forget this place's storied past.

Very vague
Community gardens and affordable housing integration excluded. No preservation of wetlands and ocean specifically stated.
There is not transportation network and infrastructure. Too much building, roads are full of tourist cars and cultural resources will never be protected if we keep allowing a large number of tourists, hotels and resort expansions.
The rest.
This option seems to put more emphasis on transportation.
Lacks infrastructure upgrades which is our current problems and can't imagine the mess we will have in 20 years if not addressed in this plan
No mention of visitors and it is unreasonable to think that everything locals need is nearby, so why say that when Kahului is the go to place for many services. If south Maui were to try to replicate Kahului/Wailuku, the area would need to endure tremendous development and expansion such that its potential to become a delightful small beach town would be destroyed.
Nothing
Clarify "local". are there still B&Bs -they are not local. Are there hotels and jobs of tourists? -they are not local. these resources for the locals, what does that mean with 1 in 3 people being a tourist?
This is a beautiful vision, but it is lacking in mentioning our visitor and part time community. South Maui has been developed as a place for residents and visitors to come together, and not mentioning how visitors have a place in the community leaves a big hole. It is true that south maui has lacked purposeful enriching development for our residents, but likewise, if we do not include how to utilize and project into the future how we want regenerative sustainable visitor industry, we will not meet that goal.
Fails to address tourism, visitors, snowbirds and multiple home owners. I despise the term cultural resource. Our past culture is not a resource. It's a way of life people lived by that doesn't encompass any of today's culture. Unless you plan to irrigate the flat lands for taro and build fishponds. Have the makai and mauka trade goods and all of us stopping what we are doing to go surf when the waves are up you're not gonna be able to preserve the culture of the "storied past"

OPTION D: South Maui has green parks and pathways, clean beaches, and vibrant gathering places with everything locals need nearby. The easy pace of life is supported by a transportation network and infrastructure that meets the community’s needs and is resilient to changing conditions. The region’s cultural resources are protected and celebrated, letting no one forget this place’s storied past.

<p>Aloha I can't distinguish much difference between these options in substantive terms. It's as if you just took a bunch of terms, mixed them up and found some alternate words to surround them. Hasn't this past year taught us/you about an economy so linked to exogenous forces? My term for what we're still experiencing is a New Abnormal. How, over the next "x" years are we to diversify the economy? Isn't rebuilding our infrastructure more of a pre-condition? If the, in my mind, the (obsolete) Planning Commission keeps on okaying additional luxury developments, the term "balanced...community" becomes a joke--on us! Ua Mau ke Ea o ka Aina i ka Pono. Malama aina.</p>
<p>Very vague descriptions.</p>
<p>Storied past? Who is going to play Mark Twain? Who's stories? And where have all the tourists gone?</p>
<p>Don't have anything to dislike.</p>
<p>Green parks. South Maui doesn't have the water to irrigate parks.</p>
<p>Nothing.</p>
<p>Nothing to dislike. I would love to see way, way, way less tourists, resorts, etc..</p>
<p>Need to see the details and strategy for the overall execution of this.</p>
<p>Ignores problem of growing population, public finance, how to include tourists in enjoyment of paradise. Requires massive outlays of public funding for infrastructure, legacy planning and existing property rights make an expansion of community gardens high unlikely, I have yet to see a realistic plan for transit with existing civil engineering cost structures--witness Honolulu rail. Same as Option B, except that I just realized, none of these options, including this one, say a word about the most important industry on our islands--tourism.</p>
<p>I think this vision is too light. It does not really describe the South Maui character. Sure, it has some practical components like the landscaping and the cultural stuffs, but I think this is too exclusive. I do not see the idea of inclusion. No affordable housing.</p>
<p>Nothing</p>
<p>Doesn't address affordable housing or wetland and native habitat restoration.</p>

What do you DISLIKE about this vision?

OPTION D: South Maui has green parks and pathways, clean beaches, and vibrant gathering places with everything locals need nearby. The easy pace of life is supported by a transportation network and infrastructure that meets the community's needs and is resilient to changing conditions. The region's cultural resources are protected and celebrated, letting no one forget this place's storied past.

No mention of addressing threatened coastal ecology which is foundational to the vision.
Needs something about affordable housing for locals and seniors.
Sounds like a throwback to the 70's and 80's
Nothing.
This sounds like an advertisement for a new subdivision.
Make things better..... is it better or are we doing it to make outside people want to buy here. Fix the things we have now. The tomorrow will tell us how bad this island goin get.
The "easy pace of life" seems like it's for a bunch of retirees..
This one is too generic
Everything. A vision needs to actionable, not just idealistic.
I do not know what "resilient to changing conditions" means. This is the type of phrase that allows developers and others to do anything. What are the "community needs?" A big part of the "community" are the giant resort hotels.
That there is nothing regarding the community's disabled population. Although "locals" automatically means they are included, it would nice if the vision would mention our sometimes overlooked members of South Maui's community.
I would like to see one option mention tourism and how we will control it.
"easy pace of life" means different things to everyone. I think that defining that a South Maui resident values the resources available - the ocean, the beaches and the sense of connected community. The word "locals" is interpreted in too many ways; I understand to distinguish from tourists/part-time residents. Missing connection of better education --> better jobs. Honestly, it is hard to bring in new variety types of jobs just because our education systems are so bad that people come for a few years but need to go back to mainland so their "kids don't fall behind". I'm not saying everyone needs college; but we need more 3rd graders proficient in 3rd grade science standards. (Kihei El Yr 2018-19 which is last year full state assessment done was 43%). We are lucky to have MEDB located in South Maui. I know that education is a state level thing, but we as a community need to support our schools and the students.

What do you DISLIKE about this vision?

OPTION D: South Maui has green parks and pathways, clean beaches, and vibrant gathering places with everything locals need nearby. The easy pace of life is supported by a transportation network and infrastructure that meets the community's needs and is resilient to changing conditions. The region's cultural resources are protected and celebrated, letting no one forget this place's storied past.

It simply restates what has already been presented in the previous plans.
Again if this just means more shopping centers I do not like that, we will see empty store fronts because the rents in these centers are so high
Changing conditions? equals tourist development. Bad for Maui's residents.
Concerned by lack of focus on natural systems, emphasis on transportation and infrastructure. We need infrastructure but only if environmentally sound.
The easy pace of life sentence is not well written.
"Everything locals need is nearby" - does that mean lots of big box stores? I would not be in favor of that. No specific mention of bicycling. Clean beaches is a low bar to set. What about locals' accessibility to beaches? It's tragic to see beachfront land and properties in Wailea and Makena sold to billionaires, locking out local access. Also no mention of clean coastal waters. To have nice beaches we need to improve ocean water quality, which means addressing S Maui's storm water flooding issues, cesspools and injection wells.
Just not sure how these are all different from each other.
Nothing!
I would include "friends and visitors" along with locals in the first sentence. The the broad brush of expressing a sense of place can limit the elements of what is needed to achieve it, such as in the easy pace od life is supported by a transportation network and infrastructure that meets the community's needs....."Who says what those needs are?" Why is the protection and celebration of cultural resources expressed to let no one forget it's storied past. How about: The region's cultural resources are protected and celebrated with "the expression of it's storied past, present impact-fullness and usefulness for the future.
While this sounds ideal it also sounds ideal for tourists (with large meetings places, pace of life, etc). How do we maximize life for the locals without letting tourism use more resources than we do? I think we need more concrete 1) sidewalks 2) dog parks 3) parking lots. etc.
Nothing, it all sounds very nice.

OPTION D: South Maui has green parks and pathways, clean beaches, and vibrant gathering places with everything locals need nearby. The easy pace of life is supported by a transportation network and infrastructure that meets the community's needs and is resilient to changing conditions. The region's cultural resources are protected and celebrated, letting no one forget this place's storied past.

Not all cultural resources need to be "protected and celebrated". Protection is not needed for all resources otherwise how can society move forward if just because at some point in the past someone did something there? Do we have to leave it alone? Significant cultural resources should be preserved and enhanced, for sure, but others could be documented and those stories preserved for future generations without sterilizing the land forever.

Also sounds like it freezes the Hawaiian culture as an historical thing rather than helping it thrive. Transportation and infrastructure needs also sound like buzzwords for big development. We do not want any more big development.

The vision doesn't mention bike paths, walkways, parks.

It ignores how we stay open -- roll tourism into these

Transportation.

Missing some explicit implementations of the above that are present in other options:

Grow food, community gardens

Walking and biking paths,

Coastal waters, wetlands

Playgrounds

Affordable housing, good jobs

Sense of place, small town

Nothing

May take quite alot to accomplish with new infrastructure and having everything we need may require developing larger shopping malls.

Nothing